

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION:NO:	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/666,369	09/20/2000	Craig Myers	13028	6168
7590	0 01/22/2004		EXAMINER	
Leopoldo Presser, Esq. Wong, Leslie A			ESLIE A	
Scully Scott Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Garden City, NY			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A 3			1
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>V</i>
	09/666,369	MYERS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	,
•		1761	
The MAILING DATE of this commu	Leslie Wong	1	address
P riod f r Reply		,	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	NICATION. ss of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe imunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory mire statutory period will apply and will expire ly will, by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered tin SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
	lod on 01 Docombor 2002		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file		.1	
<u> </u>	2b)⊠ This action is non-fina		h
Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.			ne ments is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 25-52</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s	are withdrawn from consider	ation.	
5) Claim(s) <u>10-20,25,39 and 40</u> is/are	allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-9, 21, 22, 26-38, and 41</u>	/-52 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or election require	ment.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	he Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b) ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is required if th	e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	to by the Examiner. Note the	e attached Office Action or form l	PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
application from the Internati	y documents have been rece y documents have been rece s of the priority documents ha onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application No ave been received in this Nation ((a)).	al Stage
* See the attached detailed Office acti 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was include 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign la	for domestic priority under 3 ed in the first sentence of the anguage provisional applications.	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision e specification or in an Application on has been received.	on Data Sheet
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first set			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Notice of Informal Patent Application (P	PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	Paper No(s) 6) 🛄	Other: .	



Application/Control Number: 09/666,369

Art Unit: 1761

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 1, 2003 has been entered.

Claims 10-20, 25, 39, and 40 are allowed.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 21, 22, 26-38, and 41-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Heikkila et al (WO 92/16542) for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action. The new claims are not seen to influence the conclusion of unpatentability previously set forth.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,369

Art Unit: 1761

Heikkila et al teach anhydrous crystalline lactitol as is claimed (see entire document). Heikkila et al also teach the combination of lactitol with other sweeteners such as saccharin and xylitol (see page 5, lines 3-19). The unit cell constants would be inherent and/or obvious to that of Heikkila et al as Applicant claims "about" for these values.

Applicant's arguments filed December 1, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art is not directed to the β -form of lactitol.

It is not seen where the claimed invention differs from that of the prior art. Heikkila et al teach crystalline anhydrous lactitol which is the same as that claimed. It is not seen where Heikkila et al exclude the α -form of lactitol.

All of the claim limitations and arguments have been considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,369

Art Unit: 1761

- 14 A & 1

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW January 20, 2004